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Background

• Within SPP is the 159.1 MW “Grand” windfarm
  • Output travels through 2 outlet transmission paths
The Need for Curtailment

- Wind Integration Study showed with normal conditions and N-1 limits, one or the other line would become overloaded.

- To address these situations, up to 48.7 MW (~30%) of Grand’s power production must be curtailed.
Initial Solution

• In the first three months after the curtailments were ordered, over 50,000MWh were curtailed.
  • Loss of over $1 million in revenue
  • Loss of over $1 million in production tax credits

• Grand’s owner: this “hurts us, our off-taker and the market efficiency”

• Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) requested be put in place to reduce curtailments
Proposed Fast Reaction RAS Scheme

- Monitor the three N-1 lines (PCC-H, PCC-N, N-S)
- For any trip, immediately curtail 49.7MW of capacity
- SPP ensured the scheme would have minimal likelihood of mis-operation and had no unintended consequences.
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• The Preemptive Order and the Reactive RAS did the same thing...

• Used curtailment to address N-1 conditions caused by:
  • Fixed capacity line capacity ratings, during
  • Periods of high wind farm output, resulting from
  • Windy conditions

*Is there another way?*
What limits line rating?

Clearance to Ground
• A line is not safe unless clearance is maintained
• Compliance requirements

Conductor Temperature
• Overheating leads to weakening and loss of life
• Premature replacement

What effects these parameters?
Weather.
- Line ratings (static) are based on conservative weather conditions
- **Seasonal Adjusted Ratings** and **Ambient Adjusted Ratings** recognize weather related effects on line capacity
  - Both adjust only on ambient temperature
• Line ratings (static) are based on conservative weather conditions

• **Seasonal Adjusted Ratings** and **Ambient Adjusted Ratings** recognize weather related effects on line capacity
  • Both adjust only on ambient temperature

• Wind has MUCH more impact than ambient
  • +2 mph wind ≅ 15°F change
**Dynamic Line Rating**

*Decades of studies show +10-25% capacity availability 95% of the time*
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Use of real-time DLR is operationally difficult.

What is my next DLR rating?
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Like a map app in a traffic jam...

Highly accurate but useless. ...Real-time is too slow
Generation is FORECAST because it varies
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Generation is FORECAST because it varies.

Yet transmission capacity is generally assumed as fixed.

Load is FORECAST because it varies.
Transmission Capacity Forecasting

What is it?

• An advanced statistical process that provides:
  • Forecasts of transmission line capacity from 1-hour to 1-week ahead
  • Very high (98% or greater) confidence factors
  • Local line measurements avoids weather-only errors

• Can provide direct EMS input

• Combines:
  • Learning-based conductor behavior models
  • Continuous Forecasting
Transmission Capacity Forecasting

SMARTLINE-TCF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>Real-time DLR</th>
<th>2-hour Capacity Forecast</th>
<th>24-hour Capacity Forecast</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53 MVA</td>
<td>244 MVA</td>
<td>193 MVA</td>
<td>184 MVA</td>
<td>2017-08-04</td>
<td>20:00:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph showing ratings and load over time](image-url)
Is It Windy Enough for TCF to Work?

- The worse case line needs **147.4% of Static** to avoid any curtailment.
- All lines are perpendicular to prevailing wind pattern, maximizing cooling effect
- **Lowest Monthly Ave Wind** is 3.3m/sec
- **Ave Annual Wind Speed** is 6.8 m/sec
- **3.0 m/sec** (6.7mph) ground wind speed delivers **150% of line static rating**
- Analysis shows **9.6 m/sec ground wind speed** is needed to produce max wind farm output
TCF with Pre-Emptive Curtailment

A. Develop 36-hour ahead forecast of line capacities
   • Provides for 24-hour day ahead operation
   • Additional 12 hours for market setting and clearing activities

B. Take day ahead forecasted wind farm output to forecast flows on lines of concern during N-1

C. If $A < B$, then order pre-emptive curtailment

D. Alternatively, order a lower level curtailment to match $A$ and $B$
Supervising RAS Curtailment with TCF

Recall the RAS was to curtail within cycles of line trip

A. Develop **4-hour** ahead forecast of line capacities

B. Take day ahead forecasted wind farm output to forecast flows on lines of concern during N-1

• If A>B, then **INHIBIT** curtailment IF an N-1 event occurs
• Refresh signals periodically to continue to inhibit or allow curtailment to be issued.

Supervision of RAS by TCF Forecast

- Compute N-1 Overload MVA
- 4-Hour TCF forecast MVA
- A>B?
- True if N-1 active
- Refresh signal every 60 minutes

Activate RAS
Enhancing RAS Curtailment with TCF

Recall the RAS was to curtail within cycles of line trip.

C. Develop 6-hour ahead forecast of line capacities
   • Check if A is close to the forecast flows on lines of concern during N-1
   • If $A \approx B$, then pre-emptively reduce wind farm output so that $A > B$ to avoid initiating the instantaneous RAS curtailment in the event of a N-1 event

Supervision and Tapering of RAS by TCF Forecast

Refresh signal every 60 minutes
## Who Pays | Who Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who Pays</th>
<th>Financial Benefit</th>
<th>Operational Benefit</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TO</td>
<td>• If NITS, None</td>
<td>Enhancement to asset capabilities</td>
<td>Possible addition to rate base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• If not, transmission revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTO</td>
<td>None; Not able to pay</td>
<td>• Great situational awareness</td>
<td>Must socialize cost if RTO orders the installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• More flexibility in power export</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Less congestion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF</td>
<td>• Energy sales</td>
<td>Less wear and tear on equipment due to curtailment</td>
<td>Must negotiate with TO to install and operate to forecasted levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• PTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

- TCF systems can effectively address transmission constraints that result in wind farm curtailment
  - Can supplant and/or enhance traditional curtailment methods
- Allocating costs of deploying and integrating TCF systems is not well defined
- TCF systems, once installed, provide additional operation benefits to wind farm operators, TOs and RTOs
  - Changes fixed transmission assets to dynamic